тующей бюджетной системы это является некой условностью, и поэтому на данном этапе исследования этот тезис можно опустить из практических расчетов.

Какие же регионы Российской Федерации можно отнести к саморазвивающимся, каковы особенности их развития и специализация? На эти вопросы мы постараемся ответить в одном из следующих номеров журнала.
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ATTRIBUTES OF SELF-DEVELOPING SOCIO-ECONOMIC SYSTEMS1

Place of the concept “self-developing socio-economic systems” within the context of similar economic categories is considered in the paper. As a result of the analysis of the existing approaches, author’s criteria of singling-out self-developing regions including Gross Regional Product and financial balance of a territory are defined.

In the context of the policy of system modernization of socio-economic development of the country and single territories adopted by the government, an interest to the problems related to sustainable development of territorial systems has been growing in the last few years. Therewith, a new concept of sustainable socio-economic development of territories is concerned with search for internal reserves and mechanisms that secure economic growth and well-being of the population. Thereupon, treatment of the mentioned problems in terms of the category «self-development» could, in our opinion, become fundamental in specification of mechanisms and methods of stimulation of aimed at economic upgrading of certain territories.

Scientists engaged in the study of physical and biological systems have long come to the fore in research of self-development processes. However, subjects of research into self-development have considerably extended for the last few years, and

1 The paper is completed with financial support from the project 09-II-1003 “Regularities of generation and functioning of self-developing economic systems based on advanced organizational and administrative technologies”
involved new branches of knowledge to the research into the given phenomenon. The concepts of self-development, along with self-organization and self-regulation, were introduced into economics.

**Self-development as a concept: attributes**

What are self-developing systems? What is the difference between self-organized and self-regulated systems?

All the mentioned concepts are close in terms of the meaning. Therefore, this often raises questions related to their definition. In particular: what is primary — self-organization or self-regulation? Some authors follow the logic of system development, such as: “...In the process of self-regulation and adaptation to changing conditions of the environment change of the system organization, i.e. self-organization, occurs” [16, p. 50]. It can be deduced from the citation that it is exactly self-regulation that leads to self-organization, rather than the reverse. In addition, D. K. Chistilin, when considering the process of social system development, uses the concept of «self-administration», which is to a certain extent identified with self-regulation and interpreted as change of organizations that adapt the system to changing environmental conditions.

Other authors do not establish a cause and effect relationship between the concepts considered, and they talk about the forms of self-regulation [6]. The first form of self-regulation is self-regulation on the feedback basis; such self-regulation is accomplished as self-adjustment with system parameters changing subject to external environment change. The second form of self-regulation is self-organization, with the effects attended by changes in the system structure.

In some works researchers unite the self-organization principle in terms of integrity, self-sufficiency, self-regulation, self-preservation, self-reproduction, self-optimization and, finally, self-development [2]; they assert that analysis of the above-mentioned self-organization principles is indicative of their orderliness and complementarity.

It is rather difficult to agree with the given statement, as the mentioned principles are

---

**Fig. Interrelation of concepts related to system development**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Self-development</th>
<th>Any dynamic system that determines development goals and criteria of their achievement independently, sets parameters, internal structure and other operation factors within the specified course</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Self-regulation</td>
<td>Capability of a region for extended GRP reproduction for account of inherent sources of income in the context of the existing macroenvironment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Self-organization</td>
<td>Interrelation of elements in the whole organism with deviation of any function from the level specifying optimal life activity being the cause of the given function to return to an optimal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Presence of elements and properties in the economic system that allow establishing and maintaining a desirable regime of functioning automatically</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>A criterion of economic system self-regulation is rather high values of productivity. Productivity indicator is referred to as relative excess of total output over intermediate use, i.e. standard of added value per unit of direct cost</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Capability of a system for independent structural conversion with retention of the inherent fundamental attributes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Capability of a system for stabilization of certain parameters through directed regulation of the structural and functional relationships in order to oppose entropic environmental factors</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>System modification process consisting in reflection of external environment attended by ordering of connections in the system, accumulation of data, occurrence of new structures, complication of the system structure and generation (genesis) of a controlling mechanism</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Sustainability**

---
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complementary; therefore, it is possible to arrange them in a certain sequence, but it is a matter of controversy if they can be united in terms of self-organization, so far as there are definitions of self-organization for both any system, and particularly an economic one, in which the given process is identified as spontaneous [15]. It is a problem to apply spontaneity to self-regulation or self-reproduction, and the more so, to self-development.

In the definitions of self-organization and self-regulation given on the figure, there is one more essential distinction: self-organization is a system change process or system capability; while self-regulation assumes elements, properties, a certain level of rates, etc. in a system.

Thus, scientists of different fields of knowledge do not have clear definition regarding which of the “self-” concepts is primary, and which one is the following stage of system evolution. With a view of our research, let us make an effort to rank the terms under investigation.

A sequence “self-organization, self-regulation, self-development” is, in our opinion, the most logical. The following reasoning forms the basis of the mentioned chain. Self-organization of a system is putting internal elements in a certain condition in order to “oppose entropic factors of the environment” or “reflection” of the environment”. As a result of the given process both regulation, and complication of the structure occur, and qualitative characteristics of the system improve.

Complication of the system structure is a consequence of enhancement of the role of self-regulation in the economy (which is proved by explanation in the Modern Economic Dictionary [1]). As an example, transition of the Russian economy from the command system to the market economy can be mentioned; as a result of such transition, amount of economic entities that regulate their activity independently and cooperate with contractors and government bodies, i.e. external environment, grows. Another attribute of self-regulation is ability to secure sustainability of the processes [11]. It was during the post-soviet time that research into a sustainability condition was of particular interest. Since that time many techniques and methodological approaches to assessment of sustainability (financial one, in particular) of enterprises, commercial banks, insurance organizations, bank and financial systems, etc., have appeared.

In 1996 the problem of sustainability was raised at the national level; in April an RF Presidential Edict “On the concept of transition of the Russian Federation to sustainable development” was issued. We shall revert to the matter of sustainability later.

The following stage of socio-economic system evolution is self-development. The most common definition of a self-developing system is “a complex of elements (systems, subsystems, parts) organized into a structure capable of steadily developing with no (or minimum) external sources of development involved” [4, 5, 12, 14].

When estimating self-development potential of systems, particularly socio-economic ones, the fact that the given concept is borrowed from mathematics and mechanics is to be taken into consideration. Representatives of mechanics refer to self-developing systems as any dynamic system, which defines independently development goals and criteria of achievement of the given goals, sets parameters, internal structure and other relevant characteristics.

Traditionally, self-development processes are most harmonious in the ambient biosphere, and they occur on the basis of heredity, natural selection and variability mechanisms. In terms of heredity and natural selection there is unanimity of views regarding specifics of functioning of social and economic systems in the literature dedicated to self-developing systems; however, there is still no such unanimity regarding variability. The given fact is often due to uncontrollable variability being extraneous both to tectology by A. A. Bogdanov, and all mechanical and mathematical systems, so far as it makes essentially unpredictable the result of any changes and bifurcational (revolutionary) reconstruction, under the influence of which the memory of the system virtually disappears, and its future functioning is determined by spontaneous scholasticism and lack of system-preserving pur-poses [7, 9].

Along with the concepts considered, another one, which is very close to them in terms of the content — an adaptation process, is to be mentioned. The term «adaptation» is used in economics, biology, mathematics, psychology and many other branches of knowledge. Definition of the given process has its specifics reflected in subjects of research within the framework of each mentioned discipline, but generally adaptation is referred to as adjustment to the outside world. That is inherent in the name: adaptation is adaptatio in Latin, i.e. adjustment. Adaptation in economics is understood as adjustment of an economic system and its components, workers, to changing conditions of external environment, production, labor, exchange, life [1, p. 26].

E. D. Sorokin in his work “Self-regulation in Economy” unites all the concepts considered.
above very well [10]. According to E. D. Sorokin, a system can be sustainable in case it is capable of self-regulation and self-development, i.e. capable of adapting to changes in functioning conditions independently. Such systems have been named “adaptive systems”, and they have a number of inherent properties, the following being the most significant:

— self-adjustment property, specified by positive changes of system functioning parameters for account of internal self-organization;

— self-organization property assumes capability of a system to transform its structure independently with preservation of major inherent qualitative characteristics;

— self-training property, i.e. capability of independent selection of conditions for the system to meet the criteria of functioning quality.

R. Bellman and R. Kalaba proceed further, defining generally the concepts of adaptation, self-organization and self-regulation [17, p. 7]. The definition is as follows: “gradual change of average properties in stochastic environment of dynamic system functioning”. Here two facts are to be emphasized. First, the order of terms established by the authors. Despite the fact that the order was established for the systems studied by natural sciences, it conforms with humanist systems as well. Second, the phrase in the definition “change of average properties”. It is exactly average rates that will further form a basis for development of the technique related to assessment of regional self-development.

Reasoning from above-said, self-development is referred to as an ability of a region to secure extended reproduction of gross regional product at the expense of proper sources of income in the existing macroenvironment [13, p. 32].

After scientific definitions of self-organization, self-regulation and self-development have been given adequate consideration, we shall instantiate them in a way clear to laymen.

By convention, the specified stages of economic development can be extended to age stages of human life. During the infancy period a child makes attempts to organize work of the motor apparatus and cerebration, he coordinates his internal structure, orders his demonstrating efforts, starts to creep, walk, hold a spoon, speak. Thus, the elements of the system improve in quality due to internal self-organization, growth and opposition to external environment. It is possible to talk about self-organization at this stage.

Later on a child attends a nursery school, then secondary school, institute, he meets a community of children and adults, obtains knowledge and other information. At this point it is a problem to speak of opposition (constant) to the ambient world, as a person should interact with it for the purpose of full-fledged development. However, the obtained information should be estimated and perceived, a part of it will be eliminated. The environment is selected independently, and conflicts and controversy arising in the course of communication are settled. I.e. a child (teenager) reacts independently to external influence that prevents him from progress, self-affirmation or, on the contrary, contributes to it. At this point it is possible to speak of a certain self-regulation of a person, when he chooses ways to overcoming environmental influences and finds optimal conditions for life support.

The highest stage is self-development. The education period is finished, adult life comes, necessity to demonstrate knowledge, skills and initiative arises, which determines further goals. A person establishes parameters of development independently, estimates weak points and progresses cherished goals, i. e. he self-develops.

Considering the above-mentioned example, it can be noted that a person does not always choose a good purpose in the process of self-development, and achievement of such a «negative» goal cannot be regarded as self-development. The same can be applied to self-development in socio-economic systems as well; therefore, it is advisable that determination of a goal was treated with caution when determining a vector of self-development.

There is no doubt that a lot can be said about the purposes of territorial development, which may vary from purely economic to social ones. It is very popular today to focus any concept under definition on a level and quality of people’s life.

In our opinion, such an approach is not always justified, so far as the concept of socio-economic systems already comprises a social component that reflects and estimates well-being of the population on a territory. As a result, any processes concerned with development of a territory as a whole and its components are aimed at improvement of living conditions. At the same time, in the context of self-development we can consider processes or conditions (in definition of which a standard of well-being is not determinative), under which the leading role is assigned to an economic component. Along with that, condition of the social sphere will be the result of economic prosperity of the region, i.e. the result of self-development (but not the goal).

Concluding the theoretical block of the concepts used to describe socio-economic system
sustainability, it would be desirable to focus on an issue related to correlation of concepts of "sustainability of development" and "sustainability of self-development". When studying concepts beginning with "self-", obvious or hypothetical presence of external environment is always noted. At the same time, sustainability is generally defined as an ability to resist environment influences with the internal structure being preserved. As a result, it is difficult to determine what is primary — sustainability of a territory or ability to self-regulation and self-development. According to E. Sorokin, sustainability of a system is determined by ability for self-regulation and self-development. A similar point of view is stated by V.B. Gusev: "...A concept of economic system sustainability assumes presence of mechanisms of self-regulation capable to parry crisis phenomena..." [3].

In our opinion, the authors are right in part, since they consider sustainability condition in broad sense; as a result, there is the following order: self-development and self-regulation predetermines sustainability. It is exactly potentially sustainable territories that are able to maintain a certain structure and resist environment influences, i.e. self-organize, regulate their functioning independently and self-develop. However, the listed abilities, in turn, become prerequisites to development of a higher level — sustainable development of a territory.

Identification criteria of self-developing regions

In the definition of self-developing regions given above, there are two fundamental criteria of attribution of territories to the given category: extended reproduction of the GRP and provision with proper sources of income. On this basis, we shall consider the mentioned criteria in terms of practical application in more detail.

At present, a “GRP (gross added value of a region)” rate is calculated for the regions of Russia; the given rate specifies the result of production of goods and services according to the general methodological approaches of the system of national economic accounting.

With a view to define self-developing regions, a question referred to basic principles of comparison of territories is to be answered. First of all, let us define the notion of “extended reproduction of the GRP”.

Reproduction is referred to as continuous motion and renewal of life production process in any system — biological (for instance, an individual, population, biogeocenosis, ecosystem) or social (for instance, an individual, family, community, country, capital). The process includes reproduction of elements of the system, relations between them and interaction with external environment. Production is renewed in the economy on an invariable scale under simple reproduction, on an extended scale — under increasing reproduction and on a decreasing scale — under narrowed reproduction. Therewith, extended reproduction can be accomplished extensively (for account of involvement of more resources in the economic turnover) and intensively (for account of more efficient use of available resources) [9].

Provided the given definition taken as a basis, any GRP growth is ground for self-development according to the given criterion at a set interval. At the same time, according to the statistics regarding GRP growth in recent years, it is evident that virtually all the regions (with rare exception) had positive growth rates. The given fact can be caused by various factors, but, in our opinion, the major among them was the macroeconomic situation in the country. On the other hand, GRP of territories is being synchronously reduced to some extent during business slowdown, and in this case we can talk about the lack or small amount of self-developing territories in our country. Therewith, self-development is theoretically a relative and averaged value in relation to higher-order systems, and that requires specification of the calculation methodology related to regional reproduction.

On this basis, we suggest another approach to definition of self-development in terms of the GRP criterion. It consists in definition of self-development based not on regular extended reproduction of a region (i.e. GRP positive growth rates), but on a higher-order system — the economy of the Russian Federation.

In this case, self-development in terms of the GRP extended reproduction criterion is priority development of regional economy in comparison to annual average values for the Russian Federation in general.

Thus, self-developing territories are the so-called growing-points that secure capital contribution to priority development of the national economy. On the other hand, during macroeconomic slowdown or crisis periods the given approach allows defining self-development of territories under general decline or growth retardation through comparative description of sustainability of a territory to external influences: «strong» regions will show less slowdown, and «weak» regions’ growth rate decline will correlate more with the national rates.

An important point of the suggested methodological approach to definition of self-development
in terms of the GRP criterion is account for
dynamic characteristics of territorial development.
It is no secret that development of territories is of
discrete, erratic nature, and region’s GRP may
show different dynamics during different periods.
It is particularly specific of fast-developing regions,
as well as territories with low initial potential. In
this case, owing to exploitation of large capacities
in a territory, GRP may have spasmodic dynamics
that is not quite indicative of the actual processes.
Therefore, research into GRP is suggested that it be
conducted on the basis of average rates for as large
time interval as possible (for instance, for the last
10 years), that covers different periods of economic
development of our country.

After the detailed consideration of the first
criterion of territorial self-development, let us
proceed to another one — provision with proper
sources of income.

In our opinion, substantiation of specific rates
securing appropriate description of the given
criterion is rather difficult from the practical point
of view and data content.

The point is that it is necessary first of all to decide
on what proper sources of income of territories are.
In broad sense, sources of income of any territory
are an aggregate of monetary funds received from
various sources by various institutional units that
are residents of the given territory. Thus, on the
basis of the definition, we should allow for sources
of income of all business entities of the territory
including funds of enterprises, population, budgets
of all levels, financial companies etc.

However, it is virtually impossible to estimate
sources of income in the current accounting system
of financial flows at a local level. At a territorial level
flow of funds are virtually not reflected at the level of
enterprises and population; financial organizations,
as a rule, render account to higher subdivisions,
etc. All that restricts the choice of a methodological
approach to definition of rates determining the
second self-development criterion.

On the other hand, the methodology of national
economic accounting (NEA) makes it possible to
determine financial balance of territories through
balancing item rates that reflect self-sufficiency of
the territory in a varying degree. For instance, based
on a capital transactions account it is possible to
consider self-development of a territory through
a net lending rate (net borrowing), and relation of
economy of the territory to external infusion — on
the basis of external primary income and current
transfers account, in which the rate “goods and
services external transactions balance” is balancing.

A scheme of provision with proper sources of
income within the NEA, which is correct in theory,
faces in practice a number of methodological and
methodical problems that are insurmountable at
present.

According to the aforesaid, definition of more
practicable and reliable system of rates is required,
that allows describing financial self-sufficiency
of regions in the context of the data available.
Application of budget capacity rates in one form or
another became the most widespread criterion of
financial self-sufficiency of regions in the scientific
literature in recent years.

Indeed, with lack of exhaustive information
regarding financial flows in a territory, consideration
of the territorial budgetary balance has logical
justification. The point is that budget in terms of
balance and sufficiency for reproduction process
security in a territory, as well as enhancement of
quality of life can be considered as a portrayal
of efficiency of territorial community activities.
Theoretically, activity of all economic entities set up
a fiscal base for pumping up regional budgets, which
is implicitly indicative of self-sufficiency of the
given fiscal base. In case proper sources of income
are sufficient for pumping up a territorial budget,
then efficiency can be stated; in an opposite case the
budget is pumped up for account of external sources,
self-development cannot be stated.

Based on the given points of view, there is
a widespread approach to assessment of self-
sufficiency or provision of budgets with proper
resources in the scientific literature. The given
approach is based on correlation of proper sources
of income of consolidated budgets of the Russian
Federation and expenditure, including revenues
from higher budgets. The techniques vary in details
(various comparison bases, evaluation procedures,
etc.); however, in our opinion, the given approach
has a number of distinctions in kind with the essence
of self-development embedded in the definition.

First of all, sources of income of territories are
to be in the focus when conducting research into
proper income of territories. It is a well-known
fact that, according to the Budget Code, regional
taxes that completely enter the budgets of the RF
Constituent Entities include: corporate property tax,
tax on gambling industry, transport tax. In addition,
tax revenues derived from a number of federal taxes
and dues are subject to enter the budgets of the RF
Constituent Entities. The given taxes include:
corporate profits tax, personal income tax, excise
taxes, etc. At the same time, the most «profitable»
taxes and dues remain under the jurisdiction of the
federal budget, such as: the value added tax, mineral replacement tax (hydrocarbon), customs charges and export duties, etc. In the issue, the existing system of distribution of tax revenues has resulted in the fact that the share of the so-called proper income of budgets of RF Constituent Entities is being steadily reduced in terms of both total income of the state budget, and the distribution structure of the budgetary system, i.e. transfers taken into account. Therefore, skewness in the system of distribution of income sources does not allow estimating self-development potential of a territory objectively. At the same time, if budget capacity rate depended directly on the results of territorial development efficiency (for instance, by transferring a part of the VAT in the territorial budgets), then the considered approach would be more objective.

Thus, determination of budget supply in the Russian Federation more resembles a roulette game under the present conditions: the territories with the budgetary system features allowing to secure fiscal well-being will have positive results; and the territories transferring the most part of taxes to the federal budget will have an adverse effect.

Another particularity of the RF budgetary system development that does not allow estimating proper income base of RF Constituent Entities objectively is the federal budget revenue structure aimed at increase of payments from export-import transactions. It is no secret that increase in revenues of the federal budget in the last few years was secured not for account of tax payment proper, but owing to the customs duties on principal exports, and, accordingly, the duties on imports. Thus, in fact, withdrawal of a part of the added value from the regional to the federal level by way of the export duties mechanism occurred. Under such conditions the federal budget could afford reverse subsidy of regional budgets; i.e., territories with sufficient tax base to pump up the budget became subsidized due to withdrawal of a part of the added value at the federal level.

According to the reasoning given above, consideration of self-development in terms of the criterion of efficiency of proper means on the basis of comparison of sources of consolidated budget supply of a territory is, in our opinion, not correct.

Another approach to definition of self-sufficiency of regional budgets is comparison of tax payment entering all the levels of the budgetary system and expenditure of a given territory derived from the consolidated budget. In our opinion, the given approach is more objective when considering self-development of a territory, so far as it demonstrates the way budget expenditure is secured with a proper tax base.

At the same time, the given approach has drawbacks as well. There are some of them:

— Skewness of the tax and budgetary system of the Russian Federation mentioned above is leveled incompletely within the given calculation technique. It is particularly true for export-import transactions.

— Information referred to self-sufficiency of a region is distorted due to the fact that non-tax revenues are included in the record of expenditure of consolidated budget of a territory. However, according to the Ministry of Finance of the Russian Federation, non-tax revenues are negligible (1-5%) in the budgets of RF Constituent Entities, which allows assuming admissibility of the given approach.

— Excess of tax payment over expenditure of a territory is not self-development proper, since each RF Constituent Entity should contribute to execution of state functions in the form of transfer of a part of the revenues to a higher budget. At the same time, in the context of the existing budgetary system it is a certain convention, and therefore, the given point can be omitted from the practical calculation at the given stage of research.

What regions of the Russian Federation can be attributed to self-developing ones? What are specifics of their development and differentiation? We shall make an attempt to answer the given questions in one of the next issues of the journal.
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В статье представлен краткий теоретический анализ количества и состава функций заработной платы, выявлены и обоснованы функции, раскрывающие сущность заработной платы как экономической категории.


Среди важнейших направлений модернизации современной отечественной экономики особое место занимает решение проблемы заработной платы, которая заключается не только в повышении ее абсолютного уровня и обеспечении темпов роста, адекватных условиям развития экономики, но и в укреплении ее статуса как основного источника доходов населения.

Величина заработной платы зависит от различных экономических, социальных, производственно-технических и рыночных факторов:
— стоимость рабочей силы. Наименьший уровень стоимости рабочей силы характеризует величину стоимости жизненных средств, необходимых для восстановления и поддержания работоспособности работника самой низкой квалификации. Более высокий уровень стоимости рабочей силы включает дополнительные затраты на получение высокой квалификации и удовлетворение социально-культурных потребностей;
— качество рабочей силы. Рабочая сила высокой квалификации требует для своего воспроизводства лучших в количественном и качественном отношении жизненных условий;
— степень развитости экономических, культурных и социальных условий жизни населения, в частности, научно-технический уровень производства и направлений его развития, достигнутый уровень производительности труда, уровень развитости рабочей силы, условия труда, уровень качества жизни, экономический рост производства, сложившиеся национальные традиции и др.;
— конкуренция на рынке труда, которая обусловливает дифференциацию заработной платы и определяет ее уровень в зависимости от спроса и предложения рабочей силы;
— политика предприятия в области заработной платы, на содержание которой влияют экономические условия его деятельности, конъюнктура рынка, уровень инфляции, структура кадров, обеспечение финансовыми ресурсами и др.;
— направленность социальной политики государства и государственного регулирования оплаты труда.

Всё эти факторы не только влияют на величину заработной платы, но и определяют условия реализации ее функций.

Функции заработной платы, органически присущие ей, представляют собой диалектичес-

Т. П. Тихомирова